BEFORE THE NATIONAL GREEN TRIBUNAL, PRINCIPAL BENCH, NEW DELHI

Original Application No. 513/2015 (M.A. No. 1243/2015)

Mohd. Ayoub Vs. NCT of Delhi & Ors.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.S. NAMBIAR, JUDICIAL MEMBER

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAGHUVENDRA S. RATHORE, JUDICIAL MEMBER

HON'BLE PROF. A.R. YOUSUF, EXPERT MEMBER

HON'BLE MR. RANJAN CHATTERJEE, EXPERT MEMBER

: Mr. Rahul Choudhary, Ms. Meera Gopal, **Present: Applicant**

Advs.

: Mr. Tarunvir Singh Khehar for GNCTD Respondent Nos. 2 **DPCC**

: Mr. Narender Pal Singh Adv with Dinesh Jindal, LO
: Ms. Puja Kalra, Adv. for SDMC with Mr. G.S. Saini, Health Inspector. Respondent No. 3

		Saini, Health Inspector.
	Date and Remarks	Orders of the Tribunal
	Item No.	This is an application filed under section 14 of the
-	February 26, 2016	NGT Act, 2010 for direction to closure and relocation of the unit of Respondent No. 5, from the present location
40		and to direct the DPCC to take action for violation of
		environmental law. The case of the applicant is that he is
	2	a resident near the Bakery Unit run by Respondent No. 5,
	1 X	and that unit is operating without any consent as
	4	required under air (prevention and control of pollution) act, 1981 and Water (Prevention and Control of Pollution)
		Act, 1974 from the Pollution Control Board. It is also
		contended that the unit was earlier closed/sealed in the
		year 2006 by South Delhi Municipal Corporation in the presence of Respondent No. 3 – SDM, Kalkaji and Delhi
		Police. It is further submitted that the unit is still
		operating and the Respondents are not taking any action.
		It is therefore contended that the unit is to be closed and
		the Respondent No. 2 - DPCC shall be directed to take
		action.

Though Notice was served on the respondents including Respondent No. 5, no reply has been filed by any of the respondents. Respondent No. 5 did not even appear before the Tribunal. Vide order dated 29th January, 2016 it was made clear that the application will proceed Ex-Parte against all the Respondents.

Today the learned counsel appearing Respondent Nos. 1 to 4 is present before the Tribunal. They also admit that Respondent No. 5 has not obtained either the consent to establish or the consent to operate and is running without any legal authority. The learned Counsel appearing the for the respondent no. 3 also submitted that Respondent No. 5 has not obtained even the license from the Municipal Corporation, under the Municipal Corporation act. Therefore, it is clear that Bakery Unit of Resp<mark>ondent N</mark>o. 5 is running illegally without even obtaining license from the Corporation or the consent from the Pollution Control Board which is necessary for establishing and operating the unit.

In such circumstances we direct Respondent No. 1 to 4 to close the Bakery which is being run by the Respondent No. 5 at RZ-3262-A, Street No. 36, Tuglakabad Extension, Delhi-110019 forthwith.

With the above directions Original Application No. 513 of 2015 stands disposed of.

M.A. No. 1243 of 2015

The M.A. No. 1243 of 2015 does not survive for consideration as the main application itself stands disposed of.

		JM
(MS)	Nambiar)	

	,JM (Raghuvendra S. Rathore)
	,EM (Prof. A.R Yousuf)
	,,EM (Ranjan Chatterjee)

